

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_131081
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate Resilient Dairy Farming: Prospect
Type of the Article	Review

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript under review is interesting, considering that it addresses issues related to climate change. Additionally, milk production has received numerous negative reviews regarding its environmental performance. However, it represents a vital sector for achieving global food security and thus contributing to global efforts to meet the Sustainable Development Goals of the 2030 agenda. In this sense, addressing dairy production from a perspective of mitigation and adaptation opportunities to climate change will always be an interesting topic worthy of analysis among the scientific community.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Considering the information contained in the manuscript, the title must be adequate	Ok
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the manuscript is comprehensive.	Thanks
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript under review is interesting considering what was raised in the first comment of this section; however, it requires improvement.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references in the manuscript are not recent, but sufficient. Considering that it is a review, it only includes four references from 2023-2024; Additionally, only 19% of the references are from the last five years, which in my opinion should contain at least 70%. Of the rest, 22% are between six and 10 years old, which should not be more than 20%. In this same sense, 19% are between 11 and 15 years old, with no more than 8% being recommended. Similarly, 40% are more than 15 years old, which should not exceed 2%. A simple Google Scholar search with the following text: "Climate Resilient Dairy Farming" indicated that a total of 21 documents were published during the period 2020–2024, of which none are included in the authors' references. Some paragraphs did not contain any references and the need for references was evident in some sentences.	Effected revision

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of the English of the manuscript under review is adequate, however it can be improved.	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript proposal is interesting; however it requires important corrections.</p> <p>Dear Editors</p> <p>I appreciate the opportunity to contribute to the Journal of Experimental Agriculture International. I consider that the manuscript received for review entitled: “Climate Resilient Dairy Farming: Prospect”, with Manuscript Number: Ms_JEAI_131081, presents important deficiencies. Below are some opportunities for improvement of the manuscript cited above:</p> <p>I recommend renaming the review, considering that there is very little talk about prospect and the writing and references are limited to India.</p> <p>The references in the manuscript are not recent, but sufficient. Considering that it is a review, it only includes four references from 2023-2024; Additionally, only 19% of the references are from the last five years, which in my opinion should contain at least 70%. Of the rest, 22% are between six and 10 years old, which should not be more than 20%. In this same sense, 19% are between 11 and 15 years old, with no more than 8% being recommended. Similarly, 40% are more than 15 years old, which should not exceed 2%. A simple Google Scholar search with the following text: “Climate Resilient Dairy Farming” indicated that a total of 21 documents were published during the period 2020–2024, of which none are included in the authors’ references. Some paragraphs did not contain any references and the need for references was evident in some sentences.</p> <p>Just a paragraph from Prospect?</p> <p>References mentioned by the authors: (Hristov et al., 2013; Montes et al., 2013; Del Prado et al., 2013; Chianese et al., 2009a, 2009b). They were located exactly in the document: Evaluating greenhouse gas mitigation and climate change adaptation in dairy production using farm simulation</p> <p>Many references require adaptation to the journal format</p> <p>Additionally, many references can be updated without any problem</p> <p>Likewise, the file titled: Ms_JEAI_131081 with comments for the authors, contains almost 100 comments made to the authors</p> <p>I hope that the comments issued by me will be helpful in improving the manuscript presented in such an important journal. I remain at your service for any additional comments on this matter.</p> <p>Kind regards.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	