

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_130515
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of organic fertilizers and leaf cuttings on quality and yield of palak (beta vulgaris Bengalensis) Cv. All green.
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1- Mention some important results in the abstract 2- More clarification about the materials and methods of work and the numbering of the chapters 3- Mention the conclusions and recommendations	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Effect of some organic fertilizers on quality and yield of leaf cuttings of Palak ( <i>Beta vulgaris</i> ) cv Bengalensis.	Title revised
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It need more clarity, especially in explaining the moral results.	Ok
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The introduction eeds objectives or justifications The results tables could have been collected in one table with the superior results marked with bold.	Noted and effected
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Very few	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It need using of grammarly	Done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<b>If the justifications and objectives of the study are achieved, they must be interpreted physically and chemically by finding logical scientific evidence that inevitably leads to the emergence of these results.</b>  <b>The solidity of the research is also increased by mentioning an economic feasibility that enables the farmer and the sectors interested in this plant to benefit financially from the researcher's recommendations, with appreciation for all the efforts made to make this study a success</b>	Revised

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	