

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_130467
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSTRAINTS EXPERIENCED BY THE FARMERS IN RESPECT OF GAINING KNOWLEDGE AND ADOPTING THE ORGANIC FARMING PRACTICES
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		Thanks to valuable comments
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	ok
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it is comprehensive	ok
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Scientifically, the form of the article is good but the font is insufficient. There's a lot missing from the article. The research sample selection process should be elaborated in greater detail. The author(s) is encouraged to provide the total number of farmers in the population, the confidence interval, and the margin of error. Additionally, they could specify the number of farmers selected per village for deeper clarity. The author(s) has merely listed the results without providing any explanations or comments. The discussion section of the article requires significant elaboration. Furthermore, the article contains very few citations, despite the inclusion of a list of references.	We thank the reviewer(s) for their constructive feedback and assure them that these suggestions will be addressed thoroughly in the next revision.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	<p>The research sample selection process should be elaborated in greater detail. The author(s) is encouraged to provide the total number of farmers in the population, the confidence interval, and the margin of error. Additionally, they could specify the number of farmers selected per village for deeper clarity.</p> <p>The author(s) has merely listed the results without providing any explanations or comments. The discussion section of the article requires significant elaboration.</p> <p>Furthermore, the article contains very few citations, despite the inclusion of a list of references.</p>	We thank the reviewers for their valuable feedback and assure them that these comments will be thoroughly addressed in the revised manuscript.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	