

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_130277
Title of the Manuscript:	Calcium sources and their mode of application effect on micronutrient content in leaf and fruit of two apple varieties grown under high density planting system
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is highly focused on influence of Micronutrients and calcium on apple. This research is conducted timely.	NA
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Rewrite the article title	Changes made as advised
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In abstract part, the best treatments are not clearly mentioned	Changes made as advised
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	NA
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Reference are sufficient. Remove old reference and add recent references	Changes made as advised
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	NA
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues