

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_130176
Title of the Manuscript:	Residue Retention in a Maize-Chickpea Cropping System under Conservation Agriculture Influence Soil biochemical attributes in Vertisol of Central India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Permanent soil cover, one important principle of CA, can be achieved by using the crop residues. The positive information generated from this research work is very useful for the adoption of conservation agriculture in South Asia. It shows the possibilities of convincing the people by showing the impact of CA in short time.	Thank you for your positive feedback.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Influence of Residue Retention on biochemical attributes of soil in a Maize-Chickpea Cropping System Under Conservation Agriculture in Central India.	New title has been revised
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Addition of research design, place and time will make it clearer.	Concerns of the reviewers have been addressed
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	In the References section, no standard format is followed.	References have been arranged based on APA format
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Its ok.	
Optional/General comments	Under Materials and Methods section, agronomic practices (like land preparation, sowing time, harvesting time, irrigation & weed management, crop varieties) were not included. It was more better, if retained residue was also expressed in quantity.	Needful correction have been addressed. The said manuscript is based to address biological activity there

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	