

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_129968
Title of the Manuscript:	POST-FLOWERING CHEMICAL THINNING OF ‘FUJI SUPREMA’ APPLE
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research addresses a critical challenge in apple production in southern Brazil, where climatic variability often results in irregular flowering and heterogeneous fruiting, impacting both yield and quality. By evaluating post-flowering chemical thinning agents like Benzyladenine, Ethephon, and Metamitron, the study contributes to developing effective strategies to optimize fruit set, regulate production, and improve fruit size and quality. The findings provide actionable insights for apple growers, recommending safer and more reliable thinning practices under variable climatic conditions, thereby enhancing sustainable production and economic outcomes.	Thanks for the comments
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It would be better to place “Brazil” at the end of the title.	Thanks for the comments
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Need improvements.	Thanks for the comments
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	I am confused about the data used. The authors did not mention when the research was conducted. The processed data is from 2017 to 2020, but this is not stated in the methods section. Additionally, how the authors processed the data still leaves me uncertain.	Thanks for the comments. noted
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need add more.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need improvement.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	