

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_129717
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Three Years Field Study of Planting Material Generated Through Tissue Culture and Conventional Fasciculated Root of Safed Musli
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The manuscript titled "Comparative Three Years Field Study of Planting Material Generated Through Tissue Culture and Conventional Fasciculated Root of Safed Musli" holds substantial importance for the scientific community, as it provides valuable insights into the efficacy of different propagation techniques for Safed Musli.</li><li>The findings could significantly enhance cultivation practices, offering a more reliable and efficient approach for producing high-quality Safed Musli, which is highly sought after in the pharmaceutical industry.</li><li>This research also contributes to the broader understanding of plant propagation methods, potentially benefiting other medicinal and commercially valuable crops.</li></ul>	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thanks
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	Ok
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Yes, the materials and methods were clearly explained, adhering to established research procedures.</li><li>The results and discussion were presented with thorough analysis and supported by appropriate citations from relevant studies, providing a solid foundation for the interpretation and comparison of the findings.</li></ul>	Revision made
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Yes, but include resent literature up to the year of 2024</li></ul>	Added
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Fine	Thanks
Optional/General comments	=	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	