

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_130627
Title of the Manuscript:	Potential anti-hyperglycemic activity of the polyherbal combination of Carica papaya L., Persea americana Mill., and Theobroma cacao L. leaves extract: in vitro, ex vivo, in vivo studies
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I find the article interesting because the research or findings presented by the author demonstrated the consortium can reduce diabetic effect. This lack of up-to-date references suggests that the author may not have engaged with the latest research or developments in the field, which weakens the article's contribution to ongoing scholarly discussions.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thanks
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract seems to be complicated and confusing. The abstract states that hyperglycemia increases by 46% in a dose-dependent manner, but the manuscript does not provide any data or explanation to support this percentage change. Hence the data of % change has to be included Reframe Abstract.	Revised
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the discussion should specifically address the reasons behind this observed change specifically	Ok
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	This lack of up-to-date references is seen in the manuscript which may weakens the article's contribution, hence references has to be rechecked.	Added
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	More grammatical errors is seen in the manuscript that need to be addressed	
Optional/General comments	-Nil-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	