

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_130319
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDY OF AROMATIC PROPERTY FROM IR SPECTRAL INTERPRETATION OF FRESH FRUIT AND DRIED FRUIT DATE SEEDS CINDERS (COLLECTED FROM JAIPUR, RAJASTHAN) AND ITS EVALUATION BY UV SPECTROSCOPY ACCORDING TO ICH GUIDELINES
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides a detailed comparative analysis of the aromatic properties of fresh and dried date seed cinders, utilizing IR spectral interpretation and UV spectroscopy. By following ICH guidelines, the study ensures the reliability and reproducibility of its findings, making it a valuable resource for future research. The work highlights the potential applications of date seed cinders in various industries, including food, pharmaceuticals, and cosmetics, due to their bioactive properties. Additionally, the comprehensive characterization of these materials offers insights into sustainable waste utilization, contributing to environmental and economic benefits.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is descriptive and provides a clear overview of the content, but it could be revised to improve readability and conciseness while maintaining its scientific focus. The current title is lengthy and contains multiple ideas, which might overwhelm readers. A more streamlined title could be: "Comparative Analysis of Aromatic Properties of Fresh and Dried Date Seed Cinders Using IR and UV Spectroscopy in Accordance with ICH Guidelines"	Title revised
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides an overall view of the study; however, it requires some improvements to enhance its clarity and effectiveness. The results section should be described in greater detail, highlighting key findings and their significance. This will give readers a clearer understanding of the study's contributions. Additionally, the conclusion could be minimized to maintain the focus on the results and avoid unnecessary repetition. These adjustments will ensure a more balanced and informative abstract.	Noted
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. The methodologies are well-designed and appropriately applied, and the results are presented clearly with adherence to relevant guidelines.	Ok
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	Thanks
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language of the article is acceptable for scholarly communication, but there is room for improvement to enhance clarity, grammar, and flow, ensuring it meets higher academic standards.	
Optional/General comments	The introduction requires rewriting to better emphasize the significance of this study and to include more relevant and recent references to support its context and rationale. Additionally, scientific names should be written in italics, following standard scientific conventions. The inclusion of pictures, especially for basic manipulations, is not essential for scientific research and could be omitted. Furthermore, the 'STEP-BY-STEP ANALYSIS PROCEDURE' section should be relocated to the Materials and Methods section and the title reformulated to better reflect its content. Finally, the results section should incorporate a more in-depth discussion with appropriate references to strengthen the scientific interpretation and relevance of the findings.	Revision made

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	