|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Journal Name: | [**Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research**](https://journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_JAMMR\_131639** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **IMPACT OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC ON MONITORING CHRONIC DISEASES OF THE ELDERLY IN PRIMARY CARE** |
| Type of the Article | **Review Article** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PART 1: Comments | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **This review is important to the literature as it highlights the significant challenges Primary Health Care faced in monitoring chronic diseases in the elderly during the COVID-19 pandemic. It contributes to the understanding of how public health crises disrupt routine care and exacerbate existing health disparities. By synthesizing findings from multiple studies, it provides evidence to inform future policies aimed at strengthening health systems for better preparedness. Additionally, the review underscores the need for sustainable strategies to ensure continuous care for vulnerable populations in times of crisis.** | thank you for your comments, we are grateful for your observations. |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **Yes** | thank you for your comments |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | **Yes** | thank you for your comments |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | Yes | thank you for your comments |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | Yes | thank you for your comments |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes | thank you for your comments |
| Optional/General comments | **The objective is well-stated, but it could be more precise. Consider specifying the types of chronic diseases studied or how the impact was measured. Instead of just stating that inclusion criteria were applied, briefly mention what they were (e.g., study type, publication year, language). The numbers regarding article selection seem inconsistent (e.g., 96 articles initially found, 95 full-text selected). Double-check and clarify this process. Specify the time period of the database search to provide better context. Connect the findings to broader implications for public health policy beyond just emergencies.** | thank you for your comments, we will consider the necessary changes. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 2:** | | |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* | the article is based on a course conclusion and ethical questions do not apply |