

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_131147
Title of the Manuscript:	The Use of the National Stressful Events Survey Acute Stress Disorder Short Scale (NSESSS) to assess the Prevalence and Predictability of Acute Stress Disorder in Trauma Patients
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b> <b>Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>Having a standardized tool is useful not only in research but clinical environments. Also, this tool will help create a bridge between psychiatry and emergency medicine.</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>It is not suitable.</b> <b>Author(s) may want to consider restructuring the title to:</b> <b>Assessing the NSESSS as a Screening Tool for Acute Stress Disorder in Trauma Patients: A Single-Center Observational Study</b>	We did think that the title was suitable as we are assessing whether the NSESSS tool will help assess the predictability and prevalence of acute stress disorder in trauma patients; additionally, in methods we explain that the study is a single center, prospective study
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Abstract is suitable</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	There is no definition of trauma in the methods. Inclusion and exclusion criteria should be provided. State the sampling method and apart from psychiatrist, state other research team members	Trauma definition was placed in the methods. Inclusion criteria was placed. The methods were placed in the paper.
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	References 3, 4, 5, 7, 10 are too old and more recent articles should be used. Reference 16 is improperly cited References 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 9 are of a different referencing style. Can be corrected to be same style all through	References 3, 4, 5, 10 may be too old, though it is important to understand that not a lot of research is out there for this subject matter therefore we do think it is important to keep these research articles in the manuscript.  Reference 16 is already properly cited.  Reference 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 were all changed to APA style. 9 was not changed as this is a book and therefore was cited through the book.
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Language is suitable	
<b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b>		

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	No ethical issues in this paper!