

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_131040
Title of the Manuscript:	THE PREVALENCE OF 5 ALPHA REDUCTASE INHIBITOR ADVERSE EVENTS IN PATIENTS WITH BENIGN PROSTATIC HYPERPLASIA IN JAMAICA
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study assessing the prevalence of 5-ARI adverse effects in Jamaican men. Understanding regional variations in drug response can help tailor treatment recommendations. The study highlights the need for urologists to inform patients about the potential sexual side effects before starting treatment.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes , it is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It could be made more specific by explicitly mentioning the type of adverse effects assessed.	The adverse effects assessed have now been stated in parenthesis in the aim
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct in its methodology, statistical analysis, and conclusions	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It is suggested to add more recent studies. Not followed similar format. It is suggested to make it in proper format.	No recent studies supporting the findings have been found.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The manuscript is well-structured and provides valuable insights.</p> <p>Minor revisions are needed to clarify the research gap, address study limitations, and improve clinical relevance in the discussion.</p> <p>Enhancing the discussion on the implications for clinical practice will strengthen the manuscript.</p>	<p>The relative contraindication of these medications in patients with pre-existing sexual concerns have been added to the discussion as part of implications for clinical practice.</p> <p>The impact on quality of life and medication compliance have been stated in the discussion as part of implications for clinical practice.</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	