

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_130957
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of ginger-containing dentifrice on enamel surface
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>
PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It’s a novel approach to oral care because it may lead to the development of new oral care products. Ginger has been shown to possess antimicrobial properties, which can help combat dental plaque, gingivitis, and other oral infections. It also help anti-inflammatory property and toothache is very common during pregnancy so it may for it.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, the article of title not suitable for this article. It’s really confusing for reader. Statement should be this- impact of ginger based dentifrices on enamel surface during pregnancy or 1 st trimester of pregnancy	The authors agree with the reviewer’s comment and modified the title according the suggestion.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In this sample and sample size should be mention.	The authors agree with the reviewer’s comment and included the mention of sample size.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It is sufficient but i think in this when they site should be mention	The authors included the site mention in ‘References’ section.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor modification is needed.	The text was reviewed.
Optional/General comments	Statement is really confusing if author talking about during pregnancy so in statement they have to mention about it and pleases specify what was the impact on foetus for mother heath please specify. In Aim is clear pleases work on it. Data is good but the clarity is missing in abstract and the statement.	The authors appreciate and thank for the careful review of the manuscript. The authors agree with the reviewer’s comment and provided the necessary alterations to the abstract and statement.

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No