

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_130957
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of ginger-containing dentifrice on enamel surface
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is unique in testing effects of ginger on dental parts in time of pregnancy Could have tested survey-based analysis if it has some side effect to patients.	The authors agree with the reviewer’s comment. The results of the present study represent an initial step toward achieving a safe dentifrice for patients during pregnancy. As the authors wrote in ‘Discussion’ section, laboratory studies are essential for understanding the performance and safety of materials, providing a solid foundation for the safe progression to in vivo testing. Future clinical studies are recommended to evaluate the antiemetic effects and some side effects of the experimental dentifrice.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes Could be more facinating	The authors agree with the reviewer’s comment and modified the title according the suggestion.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is itself enough and briefly described No need to delete anything	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Okey	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is scientific and much better than other articles i have revieed	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Accept the manuscript without major corrections	The authors appreciate and thank for the careful review of the manuscript.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	No