

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_130957
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of ginger-containing dentifrice on enamel surface
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study has reported that ginger has an antiemetic effect and may serve as an alternative for preventing nausea and vomiting during pregnancy (NVP). Incorporating ginger into a dentifrice could offer therapeutic benefits for managing NVP. This study aimed to evaluate an experimental dentifrice containing ginger with respect to its impact on cell viability and potential effects on tooth enamel. This is a good study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title needs to be modified as “Impact of ginger-containing dentifrice on the teeth enamel surface”	The authors agree with the reviewer’s comment and modified the title according the suggestion.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abbreviation “(ΔE, ΔL, ΔA, ΔB, and ΔE)” should be defined first.	The authors agree with the reviewer’s comment and have clarified the description of the abbreviation.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	In the Introduction, a few sentences about the mineral contents of ginger should be included with relevant reference citation, e.g. Wagasho et al., Levels of essential and non-essential metals in ginger (<i>Zingiber officinale</i>) cultivated in Ethiopia, <i>SpringerPlus</i> , 2015 , 4: 107. DOI 10.1186/s40064-015-0899-5.	The authors included the information with the relevant reference citation.

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript will have wider application. However, the manuscript needs minor revision before its acceptance.	The authors appreciate and thank for the careful review of the manuscript.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No