

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_130828
Title of the Manuscript:	DONOR SITE MORBIDITY: COMPARING THE USE OF COBBETT KNIFE WITH ZIMMER ELECTRIC POWER DERMATOME IN HARVESTING PARTIAL THICKNESS SKIN GRAFT
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It will give new idea about electronic dermatome. This study gave massage older instrument is as good as newer one. Give idea about cost benefit ratio of both instrument. It is common procedure done by every plastic surgeon and face common problems. So this study will help to other surgeons to combat the common complications.	Thank you for the insight.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thanks
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	Thanks
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Scientifically accepted	Thanks
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Ok	Thanks
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suitable. Few marked areas in description need correct to clarify.	Corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This study works with common problems facing in skin grafting	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	