

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMMR_130739 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Photobiomodulation Mitigates Oxidative Stress Induced by Bothrops jararacussu Venom in C2C12 Myoblast Cells |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Topic produce global importance as 5% of death in India occurs through snake bite, so biomodulation can be a new insight for treatment. | Thank you for highlighting this critical public health issue. You raise an important point about snake envenomation in India. The high mortality rate from snakebites indeed makes research into novel treatment approaches like biomodulation particularly valuable. |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Suitable for publication | Thank you. |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Yes Abstract is suitable | Thank you. |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | Manuscript is scientifically correct | Thank you. |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Reference are sufficient | Thank you. |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Language is suitable | Thank you. |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |