

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_130539
Title of the Manuscript:	Bicarbonate versus Alternative Treatments in Chemotherapy Oral Mucositis in Children: A Systematic Review of Randomized Clinical Trials
Type of the Article	Review article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This systematic review addresses a critical knowledge gap, providing high-quality evidence to inform clinical practice and guideline development for the management of chemotherapy-induced oral mucositis in pediatric cancer patients. The findings contribute to the advancement of evidence-based medicine, shaping the future of pediatric oncology care.	This systematic review addresses a critical knowledge gap, providing high-quality evidence to inform clinical practice and guideline development for the management of chemotherapy-induced oral mucositis in pediatric cancer patients. The findings contribute to the advancement of evidence-based medicine, shaping the future of pediatric oncology care.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	Yes - Bicarbonate versus Alternative Treatments in Chemotherapy Oral Mucositis in Children: A Systematic Review of Randomized Clinical Trials
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract effectively summarizes the key findings and implications of the study. The abstract clearly conveys the study's objectives, methodology, and main outcomes. Overall, the abstract provides a concise and informative overview of the research.	Yes, is the abstract of the article comprehensive The abstract effectively summarizes the key findings and implications of the study. The abstract clearly conveys the study's objectives, methodology, and main outcomes.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	Yes
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. I share latest reference DOI link DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/10.21873/invivo.13535">https://doi.org/10.21873/invivo.13535</a>	Yes
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	Yes
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No, the manuscript does not involve ethical issues.