

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_130361
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICE REGARDING CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE PREVENTION AMONG YOUNG ADULTS IN SOUTH INDIA: A CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is of great importance to the scientific community as it explores knowledge, attitudes, and practices related to the prevention of cardiovascular diseases (CVD) among young adults, a population often overlooked in CVD studies. The findings highlight the gaps between awareness and preventive actions, offering insights for targeted interventions. Additionally, the study emphasizes the influence of socio-economic and demographic factors, which can guide public health policies to reduce the burden of CVD among young people.	Okay
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, " <i>Knowledge, Attitude and Practice Regarding Cardiovascular Disease Prevention Among Young Adults in South India: A Cross-Sectional Study</i> ," is clear and reflects the content of the article well. However, for greater precision, a slightly modified title could be suggested: " <i>Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices Regarding Cardiovascular Disease Prevention Among Young Adults in South India: A Cross-Sectional Study</i> ."	Noted
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is generally comprehensive, but it could be improved by adding a few more details about the practical implications of the findings for public health policies. For example, it would be useful to explicitly mention recommendations for targeted interventions, particularly for low-income groups and young men.	Done as suggested
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript appears to be scientifically sound. The methods are well-described, the statistical analyses are appropriate, and the results are presented clearly and coherently. However, a more in-depth discussion of the study's limitations and potential biases could strengthen its scientific rigor.	Noted
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references seem relevant and recent, but it would be beneficial to add a few more studies on effective interventions to bridge the gap between knowledge and practices, especially in low-income contexts. For example, references such as: • <i>World Health Organization (2020). Global Hearts Initiative: Reducing the Burden of</i>	Okay

Review Form 3

	<i>Cardiovascular Diseases.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><i>Gaziano, T. A., et al. (2010). Cardiovascular disease prevention in low-income countries: Challenges and opportunities.</i>	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is generally good, but some sentences could be rephrased for greater clarity and flow. A thorough review by a native speaker or a professional proofreading service would be helpful to eliminate minor errors and improve readability.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This study makes a valuable contribution to the literature on CVD prevention among young adults, particularly in an Indian context. For future research, it would be interesting to explore the psychosocial factors influencing preventive behaviors, as well as to conduct longitudinal studies to assess the long-term impact of interventions. Finally, greater diversity in the sample (in terms of gender and socio-economic status) would strengthen the generalizability of the results.	Thanks

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	