

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_130162
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-Demographic and clinical profile of leprosy in the Regions of Brazil and outcome of new cases between 2012 and 2022
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is importance for the scientific community to outline the sociodemographic and clinical profile of the operational classifications of new leprosy cases.	We appreciate the contributions and corrections to the article.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable.	We appreciate the contributions and corrections to the article.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive, my suggestion is to the add background to the abstract.	We appreciate the contributions and corrections to the article.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically, correct.	We appreciate the contributions and corrections to the article.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references sufficient and recent but some references without the year of publication.	The references that were missing the year of publication have been corrected.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	We appreciate the contributions and corrections to the article.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There are no ethical issues in the manuscript, given that secondary data were used and are freely accessible to all.