

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_128030
Title of the Manuscript:	The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on SUS (Universal Health System) primary preventive dental care in Brazil during the first two years of the pandemic
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**l a c k o f N o v e l t y**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript mostly focuses on the trend and impact of Covid- 19 in Primary preventive Dental care provided by the Brazilian Public Health System under the Universal health coverage. This may make the Scientific community aware and think about the strategies to adopt on the given scenarios for the coming days so that the availing of services may increase and most of the population benefit from the services making the Universal health care a success.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The Title of the article does not seem to be specific. Suggestion: Primary Preventive Dental Care in Brazilian Public Health system Before and after Covid-19 Pandemic.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions	The Abstract of the article seems comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript seems to be Scientifically correct but the change in language is necessary to make it more specific with little details of the Unified Health system and rationale of the study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please	References seems to be fine but similarity check should be performed before publishing to check for acceptable similarity percentage.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No. The language of the article has to be changed and made more relatable and in flow because in many places the sentences do not connect with each other and the point the author wants to keep seems to be	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	