

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_131170
Title of the Manuscript:	Some Fixed Point Results for Integral Type Mappings in b-Metric Space
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	<b>Reviewer’s comment0</b> <b>Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.</b>	<b>Author’s Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	These contributions fall within the framework of the fixed point theorem, in which the author generalized the contraction the banach, and used a new method in which he introduced the absolute value, this is considered a good addition that may give an addition in many areas related to mathematical analysis.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	There should be a space between words Reminder of the b-(E.A).property On page 3: The expression needs to be corrected $\int_0^\epsilon \phi(t)dt > 0$ . And on page 6 corrected: (3.1.6) $\int_0^{bd(fx_n,gr)} \phi(t)dt \leq \int_0^{M_b d(x_n,r)} \phi(t)dt,$  And $\int_0^{bd(q,gr)} \phi(t)dt \leq \int_0^{d(q,gr)} \phi(t)dt,$  Yes, is comprehensive	The equations are corrected now in updated file.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	There are technical errors:	All the said technical errors are rectified in the final text.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, are sufficient and recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	the language/English is quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I think this contribution is new and good	

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer’s comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	