

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_131390
Title of the Manuscript:	DETECTION OF CARBAPENEM-RESISTANT GENES ASSOCIATED TO HOSPITAL-ACQUIRED GRAM-NEGATIVE BACTERIAL PATHOGENS FROM A TERTIARY HOSPITAL IN LAGOS, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This topic is much need of the hour, as multidrug and Pan drug resistant Organisms are playing a major role in challenging the treatment options mainly in Intensive care units and hospital acquired infections. Their detection and prompt reporting could help in strengthening the hospital infection control and antimicrobial stewardship practices. This could ultimately lead to reduce the mortality and morbidity caused by these organisms and also to prevent such infections in future.	We agree with this comment.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Noted
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive.	Noted
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Scientifically looks fine. Suggestion in Study Design – Cross sectional Descriptive study could be correct type of study for this manuscript. In cross sectional Experimental study, a new intervention will be introduced.	We agree with this comment. We have corrected it in the manuscript.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are fine except in few places while quoting the references it could be done in Chronological order.	Noted Action taken
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	Noted
Optional/General comments	Few recommended Sugestions are 1. Study Design 2. References to be quoted in chronological order 3. CLSI guidelines – Year to be mentioned in both manuscript and Reference 4. Inclusion and exclusion criteria – Patients were included in the study can be used instead of used in the study. 5. E.coli can be used instead of E.coli Spp 6. In discussion, Instead of terms North and South – correct region names like North Nigeria can be used 7. Acknowledgement can be included if appropriate.	The suggestions 1-7 are noted and we have corrected them in the manuscript. Many thanks.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	