

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_129847
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation, Screening and Identification of Bacteria Isolates with Hydrocarbon Biodegradative Potentials
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript titled "Isolation, Screening and Identification of Bacteria Isolates with Hydrocarbon Biodegradative Potentials" needs to be improved lot in scientific methods and english language. The time period of DCPIP is not mentioned in the manuscript, which is more important for selecting the efficient strains. In many places turbidity first letter is written in capital letters. Viable count of the diesel degrading strains is not done which will give more realistic picture of the growth pattern. Screening of the diesel degrading strains for biosurfactants is also not done, which is more important for oil degrading strains and also the detection of alkane degrading genes like alkane hydroxylase and flavin binding monooxygenase.</p>	<p>The highlighted section in the reviewer's comment is not within this research scope. For the DCPIP test, the isolates that decolorises faster than others are selected as the effective hydrocarbon degraders. The screening was done for 15 days as stated in the method section of the paper.</p>

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	