

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_131544
Title of the Manuscript:	Formulation and quality analysis of Palmyra tender fruit endosperm (PTFE)- Lime based functional nectar
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b> Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Functional nectars are gaining popularity as a healthy beverage option due to their nutritional and sensory benefits. Palmyra tender fruit endosperm (PTFE) has not been commercially explored to produce value-added products. Considering the nutritional aspects, PTFE is suitable for the preparation of beverages, especially nectars.  Well, summarize the aim of this study.	Summarised the aim of study
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes.  She/ He can add some more line significant features about nectar and other carbonated soft drinks available in the market.  When she adds citations in the context Except for the author's name and other parts in Italic format) e.g.- (Parekha et al. 2014)	Changed et al in italic format. Added information about the importance and consumer acceptance of functional nectars.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. The methodology can be explained in the flow chart format rather than in more words. Pictorial representation is more feasible in the results (Pie chart or another relevant Histogram)	Added flow chart of preparation of 100ml best functional nectar. Changed figure nu. Accordingly.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not enough.  References can be added more, If not available in this subject part not an issue.	References included as much as the study conducted.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.  Spelling mistakes, Grammarly has so many errors shown, before Publishing correct the spelling mistakes.	Corrected all the spelling mistakes.
Optional/General comments	Overall, well designed the research article, If incorporates the above feedback it is reaching more research readers.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	