

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_131376
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiological Assessment of Systemic Hypertension in Dogs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research topic chosen for this study is really important in small animal day to day practice as a lot of dogs suffer from secondary hypertension which ultimately leads to end organ damage.	Thanks
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Epidemiological studies on systemic hypertension in healthy and diseased dogs.	Yes thanks
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	Ok
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	In the text the style of writing the references is different. Sometimes the name of the author is used and sometimes numerals are used.it should be uniform.	Yes thanks
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The table depicting gender wise distribution that infers males are more commonly affected with hypertension can be eliminated as in the selection criteria itself there are more number of males. So the above result is obvious. Similarly, predisposition of labrador breed to hypertension is obvious as this the most commonly found breed in the Indian household. The results seem to be biased.	Ok noted

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	