

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_131345
Title of the Manuscript:	Phenology and nutrient studies of rice (Oryza sativa L.) as influenced by different crop establishment methods and irrigation scheduling
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	<b>Reviewer’s comment</b> Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	<b>Author’s Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The main aim of this study is to know the effect of different establishment methods and irrigation schedules on phenological characters and nutrient studies which will provide the scientific community with the information required to choose a best suitable method for growing the crop.	Thanks
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the tittle is apt for the article.	Ok
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive and doesn’t need any modification.	Yes
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.	Thanks
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient but some changes are suggested in the comments.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language quality is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	Provide reference for NPK uptake formula Check the year of publication of DES, WAP reports mentioned in references. The data cited was of 2025 but publication year is 2020 and 2021 respectively.	Noted and revised

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer’s comment</b>	<b>Author’s comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	