

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_131252
Title of the Manuscript:	Studying Genetic Diversity in Genotypes of Linseed (Linum usitatissimumL.) Using Agro-Morphological Traits for Rainfed Conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Title should be clear, short and precise, brief and here your title was not clear and too long	We appreciate your suggestions and will make the necessary changes.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Genetic Diversity study among Linseed genotypes using Morphological trait	Thank you for your insightful suggestion.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It lacks organization, chronological order is not well arranged	We appreciate your suggestions and will make the necessary changes.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	Thank you for your insightful suggestion.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not sufficient	We appreciate your suggestions and will make the necessary changes.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	Thank you for your insightful suggestion.
Optional/General comments	Materials and methods part is not in detail Tables should be under result part	We appreciate your suggestions and will make the necessary changes.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No, There Isn't.