

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_131252
Title of the Manuscript:	Studying Genetic Diversity in Genotypes of Linseed (Linum usitatissimumL.) Using Agro-Morphological Traits for Rainfed Conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Linseed is a leading oil seed crop and has a lot of potential to grow in the farming community as an important crop. This research will provide a good opportunity for other communities to know the genotypes better and to understand more about the crop.	We appreciate your insightful and encouraging feedback.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. The title is okay.	We appreciate your insightful and encouraging feedback.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. No need to make any changes in the abstract.	We appreciate your insightful and encouraging feedback.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes.	We appreciate your insightful and encouraging feedback.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient but the results are not well supported using references.	We are thankful for your observation.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. It is appropriate	Thank you
Optional/General comments	No plagiarism found. PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	Thank you

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	Thank you for your insightful suggestion.