

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JABB_131162
Title of the Manuscript:	A Survey to assess Banded Leaf and Sheath Blight disease severity in major maize growing areas of Andhra Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Reviewprocess:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assistedreviewcommentsare strictlyprohibiteddduringpeerreview.

This journal'speerreviewpolicy states thatNOmanuscriptshouldberejectedonly on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscriptisscientificallyrobust and technicallysound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visitthislink:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy:<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers:<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1:Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that an author should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article has a good subject and the researchers have a great idea. But i think it should be beter if they used newer references and molecular methods too.	Banded leaf and sheath blight pathogen was very destructive pathogen in maize as well as in the crops and this article represents BLSB severity in different areas in the state of Andhra Pradesh and how the variety, space and cropping systems influence the disease severity.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Yes the title apt for this article
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is good	Abstract was comprehensive and it is sufficient to represent the entire article by concluding the important points
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	yes, it was well prepared
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No they are so old.	References are sufficient, recent and updated and followed the journal format.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	Yes, the language is good enough for scholarly communications
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues