

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_131044
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimization of Teak Growth, Productivity and Wood quality through Implementation of Sound Silvicultural Techniques - A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Comments

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b> Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The document presents relevant information on the importance of teak in forest plantations.</li><li>2. Pruning and thinning are important to improve the quality of the harvested wood.</li><li>3. Information regarding site productivity is relevant for teak wood.</li><li>4. Knowing the quality of wood from young plantations compared to mature plantations is necessary for better use of the species.</li></ol>	Thanks for the coments
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is consistent with the content of the document.	Noted
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is clear and reflects the content of the document.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the order of the information is consistent with the objective of the document	Thanks for the coments
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, they are sufficient for the topic developed.	Ok
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I do not feel qualified to evaluate the linguistic quality of English	Thanks for the coments
Optional/General comments	Review the comments written in the document	Thanks for the coments

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	