

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_131019
Title of the Manuscript:	“Survey for the occurrence of anthracnose of field bean caused by Colletotrichum lindemuthianum in southern Karnataka”
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author’s Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The importance of this manuscript for the scientific community is that it provides information on how the severity of anthracnose disease in broad bean varieties in various places. This manuscript also provides important information for the world of agriculture regarding the factors that cause anthracnose disease in long bean varieties so that farmers or agricultural actors can know and can provide solutions or overcome the disease. Therefore, this manuscript is very important for the scientific community so that the scientific community can also participate in finding ways to prevent and overcome anthracnose disease in long beans.	Reviewes’s comments are necessary corrections required for the better aticle. So, I have incorporated the necessary corrections in the original manuscript
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Based on the content of the article has fulfilled the parts of the requirements of an article, namely the abstract, background, objectives, methods, results, discussion, and conclusions. But there is something lacking in terms of discussion, namely that it is better if the author explains scientifically and is supported by reliable and valid sources, especially how factors such as low temperature, high humidity, the varieties used, the presence of inoculum and the use of infected seed material can cause anthracnose disease so that the scientific community can find solutions to overcome this. The author may also provide solutions to overcome anthracnose disease.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/Generalcomments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No, there are no ethical issues in this details