

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_130927
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on gall midge (biotype 3) resistance and genetic analysis in rice (Oryza sativa L) genotypes
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As gall midge is one of the most important pest of rice all over the India, study on the resistance and genetic analysis of the insect in rice is very much required. Also the authors focussed on productivity alongwith the above mentioned characteristics, the article is of importance to scientific community.	-
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title must contain the area of study	The biotype 3 is specific to Northern Telangana Zone. As I mentioned biotype 3 in the title, the title in the present form suits to the manuscript
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive but the authors must not use any abbreviations from the beginning.	Corrections were made in the abstract as per the comments
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct as it focussed on the most important pest of rice with its genetic analysis.	-
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes the references are sufficient but needs to be revised as per the journal guideline.	Revised as per the journal guidelines
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The english quality of the article needs to be improved.	Improved as per the suggestions
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article needs a minor revision.	Revised as per the comments

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	-