

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_130665
Title of the Manuscript:	Diagnosis and Therapeutic Management of Chronic Gastritis in Dogs Using Triple Therapy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds very significant value for the scientific community as it provide critical view into diagnosis and therapeutic management of chronic gastritis in dogs, a condition in which major dogs undergoing in veterinary practice. This article shows the comparison between two methods of triple therapy, the study highlights the effectiveness and potential benefits of each approach with evidence-based strategies. These findings can improve clinical decision-making, improve health outcomes, support further in research in veterinary gastroenterology. Moreover, the study emphasis the importance of tailored therapeutic interventions and advancing the understanding of chronic gastritis management in veterinary medicine. This article shows the future benefits in alternative antibiotic and proton pump inhibitors effectiveness.	Thanks for the comments
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title which mentioned is very suitable but it should be more specific. For instance: “Diagnosis and Therapeutic Management of Chronic Gastritis in Dogs: A Comparative Case-Control Study of Triple Therapy Methods”	Thanks for the comments
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is well explained in concise manner and explained well in very short. My suggestion you can also add short regarding why G1 therapy is better than G2 therapy.	Thanks for the comments
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes it is correct	Thanks for the comments
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	You can give reference for this statement: The antibiotics act synergistically to eliminate the bacteria, while the acid-reducing agent lowers gastric acid secretion, creating an environment less favourable for bacterial survival and enhancing the efficacy of antibiotics. This combination not only improves treatment success rates but also promotes mucosal healing and minimizes the risk of complications such as ulcers and gastric cancer.	Thanks for the comments
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, English quality of the article is very appreciable and understandable.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	