

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_130628
Title of the Manuscript:	SOMACLONAL VARIANTS IN ORNAMENTALS
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study is significant for the scientific community as it deepens the understanding of somaclonal variation mechanisms and their applications in plant biotechnology. It provides valuable insights into genetic and epigenetic changes induced during tissue culture, offering a foundation for further research on plant improvement. By showcasing advanced molecular tools and markers for detecting and stabilizing somaclonal variants, the study contributes to the development of more efficient and precise breeding techniques. Additionally, it bridges the gap between basic research and practical applications in floriculture, fostering innovation in genetic diversity and crop improvement.	Thank you for your thoughtful and insightful comment on our study.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are not very recent. Including recent references is essential to demonstrate awareness of the latest research and developments in your field. Adding contemporary studies alongside classical ones ensures your work reflects both foundational knowledge and up-to-date insights, enhancing its credibility and relevance.	Thank you for your valuable feedback. We appreciate your suggestion regarding the inclusion of more recent references.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	