

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_130474
Title of the Manuscript:	Diversity of floral visitors and foraging behaviour of major bee species on buckwheat (<i>Fagopyrum esculentum</i> M.)
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript provides critical data on floral visitors, foraging behaviors, and diversity patterns in buckwheat, which are valuable for pollination management and improving crop yields. Consider emphasizing these broader implications in the introduction and conclusion.	The manuscript has detailed information of insect floral visitors, their diversity, dominance and foraging behaviour of major bee species with respect to nectar and pollen collection.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is informative but lengthy. A concise alternative could be: “Floral Visitor Diversity and Foraging Behavior in Buckwheat.”	Since the study was mainly concentrated on foraging behaviour of major bee species, we can’t generalise to floral visitors. Hence original title was retained
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but could benefit from clarifying the significance of findings. Adding quantitative data such as the number of floral visitors and foraging duration could strengthen it.	Abstract was rewritten by incorporating necessary correction and highlighted
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically robust; however, ensure consistency in reporting numerical data (e.g., significant figures) and units. Clarify any ambiguous statements about species dominance and behavior.	Necessary interpretation was interpreted in results and discussion
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate but could include more recent studies on pollination efficiency and bee behavior, particularly in buckwheat. Consider adding works from 2020–2024 to ensure regency.	Since the work done on this study was limited hence the required references were added and highlighted in manuscript
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is generally clear, but grammatical errors and sentence structuring need refinement in several sections, including the methodology and results.	The necessary corrections were incorporated and highlighted
Optional/General comments	Consider including GIS maps to visually depict the distribution of floral visitors or species abundance across surveyed plots. Graphical abstracts could also enhance the paper's appeal.	Instead of GIS maps, we mentioned geographical and altitude coordinates

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues are considered