

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_130474
Title of the Manuscript:	Diversity of floral visitors and foraging behaviour of major bee species on buckwheat (Fagopyrum esculentum M.)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript delivers valuable insights into the ecological role of Buckwheat floral visitors and their significance to both the ecosystem and agricultural productivity. By documenting the abundance and diversity of floral visitors, it improves the proper understanding of plant-pollinator interactions, which is essential for the sustainable agriculture and biodiversity conservation. The findings of the manuscript are helpful to make strategies for the improvement of crop yields and enhancing of ecosystem health.	The manuscript has detailed information of insect floral visitors, their diversity, dominance and foraging behaviour of major bee species with respect to nectar and pollen collection.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, there is no need to change the title of the manuscript.	Yes
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Better to start the abstract with 1-2 lines of the importance of pollinators.- Also provide “what you did and where you conduct the experiment (in 1-2 lines).- Write the closing sentence related to the importance of your experiment or what is your conclusion and what should be done in the future.	Abstract was rewritten by incorporating necessary correction and highlighted
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<p>Introduction (Should be rewritten):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Few of the paragraphs in introduction looks like a review of literature (rephrased the results from abstract of a paper).- Add a paragraph or few lines regarding Why this study is important for this region.- Objectives are missing.- Make a continuity between the sentences and paragraphs in introduction. <p>Results and Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Results are written in detailed, So, summarize the results.- Discussion is totally missing.	Introduction was rewritten by incorporating all the necessary corrections Importance of this study was added and highlighted Objective was added and highlighted Introduction was rewritten by adding extra information and bringing continuity between the paragraphs Discussion was made for each results and highlighted
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The whole manuscript lacks references (as the discussion portion is totally missing).	Required references were added and highlighted in manuscript
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript is well written.	Yes
Optional/General comments	Although it's a good study but authors need to rewrite the introduction and add discussion. Moreover, no objectives are provided in the introduction.	Necessary suggestions and corrections were incorporated and highlighted in manuscript

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues are considered