

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_130396
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterisation of coconut shell biochar and its influence on soil biological properties, bioavailability of major nutrients and Soybean (Glycine max L.) yield in acidic soils of Eastern Dry zone of Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript used for the scientific community reveal the roel of biochar in yield of soya bean	All the correction were incorporated in the manuscript as per reviewers' suggestion and corrected portion was highlighted.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Effect of coconut shell biochar on soil properties and Soybean (Glycine max L.) yield in the Acidic Soil of Eastern Dry zone, Karnataka	Title was changed as per the reviewer suggestion
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	See the detail in the manuscript	All the correction were incorporated in the manuscript as per reviewers' suggestion and corrected portion was highlighted.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	See the detail in the manuscript	All the correction were incorporated in the manuscript as per reviewers' suggestion and corrected portion was highlighted.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add more reference in the introduction part	More references were added in introduction part and highlighted.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need revision	Revised the quality of manuscript
Optional/General comments	See the detail in the manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	