

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_130313
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF PLANT GROWTH REGULATORS AND BIO STIMULANTS ON FLOWERING AND YIELD OF RIDGE GOURD (<i>Luffa acutangula</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I want to refer to that the humic acid as it is considers organic compound sparingly soluble in water but it should be to use potassium humate instead which be completely water soluble.	The findings highlight the potential of combining ethrel and humic acid as an effective strategy to improve flowering parameters, fruit set and overall yield.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	ok	Not suitable and it was changed to STUDY ON THE SYNERGISTIC EFFECTS OF PLANT GROWTH REGULATORS AND BIOSTIMULANTS ON FLOWERING AND YIELD OF RIDGE GOURD (<i>Luffa acutangula</i> L.)
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	ok	Title of article was deleted in the abstract
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	good	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct and follows appropriate statistical analysis and detailed methodology.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	enough	Enough
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	good	Good
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No