

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_130309
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular Characterization of Beneficial Soil Microorganisms: A Strategy for Enhancing Crop Productivity
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript entitled “Molecular Characterization of Beneficial Soil Microorganisms: A Strategy for Enhancing Crop Productivity” may be interesting and robust but the paper fails to establish it in the context of existing work and alternative ways of enhancing crop productivity within a wider integrated approach. However, MS need a significant revision. No need of italics in <i>Rhizobacteria</i> , <i>Mycorrhizal fungi</i> , and <i>Actinomycetes</i> in sentence. I think first you should focus on microbes and its plant growth promoting activities and then molecular characterization in abstract as well as MS. In Table 2 Rods should be replaced with Rod. Future perspective should be rewritten and focus on theme of MS.	Thanks for the comments
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, title should be revised as “Beneficial Soil Microorganisms in Enhancing Crop Productivity: A Review”	Thanks for the comments Noted and revised
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract needs to completely revise. First microbes plant growth promoting activities and then molecular characterization.	Ok
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, scientifically correct.	Thanks for the comments
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, please add some more latest references of 2024 as well as 2025.	Thanks for the comments added
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, language in ok.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	