

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_130229
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancement of Trap catches of Melon Fruit fly Zeugodacus cucurbitae (Coquillett) by Gel Formulations of Protein Bait
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Use of Proteinex can be the best alternative for the pesticides. As the attraction of females is the significance of Proteinex.	Yes
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	yes
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Inclusion of study area, year, and data should be followed. Revise the abstract Key words should be arranged in alphabetical order	included
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	Yes
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, should be arranged in alphabetical order	Arranged alphabetically
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	yes
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No.