

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_130158
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative analysis of microbiological contamination levels of some tomatoes samples produced and sold in Niamey, Niger
Type of the Article	Research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is a research report of contamination of vegetables in part of Niger. Enteric pathogens are agents of infectious diseases, hence the importance of this work. Vegetables, which are the samples tested are widely consumed and its microbiological quality is of high significance.	Ok
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable. However, since this work was only undertaken in part of Niamey, part should be reflected in the title.	Noted
Is the abstract of the article comprehensivmeye? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	Thanks
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	Thanks
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References of more than 10 years back may be substituted for more recent ones.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	