

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_130671
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIOXIDANT, IN-VIVO AND IN-VITRO ANT-IINFLAMMMATORY ACTIVITIES OF PILIOSTIGMA THONNINGII ROOT EXTRACT
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research work gives the scientific community insight about the medicinal benefit of the plant in question.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	ANTIOXIDANT, IN-VIVO AND IN-VITRO ANT-IINFLAMMMATORY ACTIVITIES OF PILIOSTIGMA THONNINGII ROOT OF METHANOL EXTRACT	Noted
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is in order	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct.	Noted
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language / English quality of the article is suitable for suitable.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The author should be consistent with the unit used in the work, e.g. use of ml, mL and other volume unit should be consistent.2. In the experimental section, the test for cardiac glycoside does not reflect the use of the extract used but rather the use of solvent.3. The author wrongly uses the word strong acid instead of concentrated acid in several places in the manuscript.4. The word “cooking” should not be applicable instead “boiled”5. The procedure for determination of tannins should be reviewed.6. Generally, there are some grammatical errors such as in the test for saponins, steroid.7. The write up should also be in a reported speech, instead of use of will.8. The author wrote “in vitro” instead of “<i>in-vitro</i>” in most places The writing marked in yellow in the manuscript need to be rephrased.	Done as suggested

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	