

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Research Journal of Oncology</a>
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IRJO_130706
TitleoftheManuscript:	Role of Immune Checkpoints (PD-1, PDL1 and CTLA-4) In Triple-Negative Breast Cancer and Therapeutic Implications
Typeof theArticle	Review Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight the parts in the manuscript that are mandatory that authors should write in here feed back here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>It provides valuable insights into the role of key immune checkpoints in the aggressive and hard-to-treat subtype of breast cancer, Triple-Negative Breast Cancer (TNBC).</li><li>By exploring the molecular mechanisms underlying PD-1, PDL1, and CTLA-4 pathways, the study highlights potential therapeutic targets and strategies to enhance immunotherapy outcomes in TNBC. This research contributes to advancing precision</li><li>This research contributes to advancing precision medicine, fostering the development of novel treatments, and improving survival outcomes for patients with this challenging cancer type.</li></ul>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Acknowledged
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<div>Additions</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Briefly emphasize the unmet clinical need in TNBC treatment to strengthen the rationale for focusing on immune checkpoints.</li><li>Include a sentence on how the advancements discussed could translate into improved survival or quality of life for TNBC patients.</li><li>Mention specific statistics or studies that demonstrate the efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors (e.g., response rates or survival improvements).</li></ul> <div>Deletion</div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Avoid redundancy in mentioning "prognostic implications" and "mechanistic involvement." These concepts could be consolidated for brevity.</li></ul>	All suggestions have been implemented in the abstract and highlighted in yellow
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	Acknowledged
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	Acknowledged
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	Acknowledged
Optional/General Comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Authors concur with observations and have implemented corrections to improve paper The comment and suggestions from the reviewer were very helpful in reviewing the paper