

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_130706
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Immune Checkpoints (PD-1, PDL1 and CTLA-4) In Triple-Negative Breast Cancer and Therapeutic Implications
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<p>It is a well-researched and well written review. I recommend the editorial board to accept the review with the minor modifications mentioned below.</p> <p>COMMENTS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Yellow highlighted are the grammatical corrections made in the original draftQuestions and suggestions are written in red wordsIt will be good if you can include a pictorial representation of the mechanism of action of ICIs on CTLA-4 and PD-1/PDL-1 thereby summarizing their benefits in treating TNBC (you can omit fig 2) <p>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">All highlighted corrections in Reviewer's attachment has been addressed and implementedQuestions and suggestions have been addresses as wellA Schematic diagram of the mechanism of action of Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors (ICI) has now been included and reference

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	We appreciate the review and correction from this Reviewer. This was the most helpful reviewer for the research team. Reviewer critically made very helpful suggestions and asked questions to improve understanding An edited copy of the manuscript from this reviewer made things extremely easy for authors to implement corrections and provide additions to meet suggestions in the original manuscript.