

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_130736
Title of the Manuscript:	Antioxidant and Neuroprotective potential of Resveratrol in the reversal of aluminium chloride-induced Alzheimer-type neurodegeneration in adult male wistar rat
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1. Filling gaps in the literature – this manuscript can fill up the gaps in unexplored areas also help researchers to connect the dots through this study 2. Study findings can expand the knowledge for future research 3. It can help to enhance educational resources for educators and students	Thank you so much for the positive comment and this is a great encouragement for future research
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, title is suitable	Noted with thanks
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, abstract is comprehensive and self-explanatory	Noted with thanks
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	Noted with thanks
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some more recent references can be added as per the latest research	More recent references have been incorporated for quality work
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, language is easy to understand	Noted with thanks
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Noted with thanks