

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_130070
Title of the Manuscript:	Sociodemographic correlates of Work-related stress among child health care workers in Nigeria
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Pediatric care is a highly stressed working environment unlike other departments in healthcare and effects from these can cause setbacks at work and output. Research on work related stress aligns with key area of public health. Understanding how sociodemographic factors influence stress can help address the discrepancies and improve child health care workers well being.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable. However, it could be refined slightly to “Sociodemographic Correlates and Prevalence of Work Related Stress among Child Healthcare Workers in Nigeria. This covers both the sociodemographic and prevalence aspects making it more comprehensive.	Noted
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Background: Okay Method: should reflect sampling techniques. Conclusion: Okay Result: Okay	Noted
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct to some extent. Additional changes have been included in the main body of the work for the researchers to adjust	Noted
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and most are recent . However recent references can be sought.	Noted

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	Noted
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Introduction : iThe introduction is concise and beautifully written. It follows a chronological order.</p> <p>Methods: Study design and settings should be separated . Selection process (samplings technique) should be stated. Was total enumeration of the attending participants used , purposive or was participant selected randomly from the six geopolitical zones. The type of techniques should be stated.</p> <p>Results: Results were clearly stated</p> <p>Discussion: Well discussed however other literature similar to findings should be sought for similar prevalence rate.</p> <p>Conclusion: Okay</p> <p>Implication of Study: Okay.</p> <p>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	Noted

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	