

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_130635
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF OVERWEIGHT/OBESITY, COMORBIDITIES, AND RISK FACTORS AMONG PERSONS LIVING WITH HIV/AIDS ON ART IN TWO TREATMENT CENTERS IN THE FAKO DIVISION, CAMEROON
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it investigates the prevalence of factors found to be associated in other studies like overweight/obesity, hypertension, and diabetes among persons living with HIV/AIDS in the Fako Division in Cameroon. The study's results offer valuable insights into the burden of non-communicable diseases in this population of sub-Saharan Africa, emphasizing the need for targeted interventions. By highlighting the coexistence of HIV/AIDS and non-communicable diseases, the research contributes to a better understanding of the healthcare challenges faced by individuals living with HIV especially in a resource limited setting. These findings underscore the importance of comprehensive public health strategies for managing the health of PLWHA.	Ok thanks
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	PREVALENCE OF OVERWEIGHT/OBESITY, COMORBIDITIES, AND ASSOCIATED FACTORS AMONG PERSONS LIVING WITH HIV/AIDS ON ART IN TWO TREATMENT CENTERS IN THE FAKO DIVISION, CAMEROON: A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY.	Thank you editor, this well noted. The corrections are made in red coloured text.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is very comprehensive and straight-forward. The authors should increase their results atleast to go to 300words.	This is well noted and the results has been increased and the words has sumed up to 300 words including added words in the methods section.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct. It permits us to understand the knowledge gap in the background, the method is very clear (design, population, collection, analysis), the results and discussions. The authors should add a flow-chart for us to understand how many patients were there, why they weren't chosen (we know its consecutive sampling), how many were excluded at the end. It's very important to have it.	It well noted.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are recent, even going till 2024.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is adequate.	Yes
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is well done but I'm expecting from the authors to refine their title and add a flow-chart.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	