

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_128663
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the impact of Haemoparasites on Albumin and IgE blood levels in Transfused Blood at the Regional Hospital Bamenda, North West Cameroon.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A good practical scientific work that the community need to guard against deadly infectious diseases through blood transfusion. It well help Health authority to know and plan well to prevent blood transfusion transmitted diseases.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes , it is a good title.	Ok
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is well including every item and section the manuscript. Adequate and relevant Introduction , objectives clearly stated and justified. Methods: appropriate , well described design , results and analysis are appropriate , thorough and well described analysis and reporting. Discussion/Conclusion: Appropriate, thorough discussion and interpretation of results , Conclusion justified.	Noted and revised
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient, relevant and up to date. No essential references are lacking . Only , page 8 , line 21 ... <u>Matowicka-Karna et al. (10)</u> to be edited to <u>Matowicka-Karna et al. ( 2009)</u>	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript is original and of wide interest. Suitable for publication. Only The comment of reference Matowicka ... may be required as described	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	