

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_128663
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the impact of Haemoparasites on Albumin and IgE blood levels in Transfused Blood at the Regional Hospital Bamenda, North West Cameroon.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This study could contribute for the scientific community as well as for the community as source of knowledge and clue for further study in the futureThis study is very significant in which it would be add the scientific contribution for the world as well as individual especially for donation of blood sectors and in health aspectsBefore the blood donation process it is better to check the heamatoparasites and all other diseases before transfusion to individuals.It focuses the on the hemoparasites like Bacteria, protozoa, mycoplasma, and flagellates that reside in the bloodstream of vertebrates.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is suitable but it is better to make specific the parasites.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It includes all parts that it holds. It is so nice. It is better to add the recommendation part according to your study that you want recommend for the next and as well as according to the study.	Noted and Revised
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<div>✓ It is scientifically rigorous and adheres to scientific communication; data collection and way of writing is based on analysis, coherent and evidence and experimentation.</div> <div>It follows the steps and formats of manuscript presentation as well as states the detail of the work in a clear manner.</div>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes almost recently avail references are used but some of them should be update.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is nicely and briefly explained with proficiency language. The grammar and words used are applicable for the study. In some part in such as abstract, result and conclusion has written incorrectly. I had commented it in the manuscript. Look it anyway.	
Optional/General comments	<div><div>✓</div>The manuscript covers healthcare of the community which enhances the blood transfusion protocols and encourages individuals for donation process.</div> <div><div>✓</div>The title itself is impressive and the writing style also attractive.</div> <div><div>✓</div>It would give a framework for the next study in the community rather than taking the sample from the hospitals.</div> <div><div>✓</div>It is better to study in the community level rather than than in some hospitals please recommend in this way.</div>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<div><div>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</div></div>	