

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_131321
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence, clinical presentation and management options of uterine fibroids in a Nigerian tertiary institution.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Dear author, the study has been well investigated and presents reasonable results for expanding the treatment strategy for management. However, below are some comments to consider to make the study capture the aim.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In the table, you mention management options. I will suggest including a table with three major focuses. The surgical option, approved pharmaceutical option and investigative phytochemical/compounds option. Each of these focuses should then capture the advantages and disadvantages as it apply to your environment.2. The title should have a tertiary teaching hospital. Please make amendments to this.3. The study measures the prevalence of 27.8%, but how has the surgical option been proven effective for uterine fibroids? Do you mean the surgery was what reduced the amount to the figure or without surgery?4. What is the frequency of operation reoccurrence more than once for patients with uterine fibroid in this study. Or there was no patient with reoccurring clinical presentation.5. Does the surgical option require any pharmaceutical intervention to sustain the surgery outcome over time?6. The citation to the study has not been properly presented consistently. Please adjust to this.7. In the conclusion what do you mean by “future fertility”	<p>Thank you for your review.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. This is a retrospective study and we are limited to what is available in the records. Obviously, these are not in the records2. The title already has ‘tertiary’ on it. In Nigeria, tertiary institution is equivalent to teaching hospital, so one is used.3. 27.8% was the prevalence of uterine fibroids in the institution. Majority of the patients had abdominal myomectomy.4. There was no record of a patient coming twice for the surgery.5. The was no pharmaceutical intervention recorded in their folders6. I mentioned gyn. Ward, theatre and medical records of the hospital, RSUTH. I stated them in all the records7. Myomectomy is usually preferred for nulliparous/primiparous etc, married women who desire to have children. In Nigeria, not having children is enough reason for dissolution of marriage, so we try as much as possible to preserve the uterus for that purpose.

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	