

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRD_130446
Title of the Manuscript:	SCLEROSING POLYCYSTIC ADENOSIS OF MINOR SALIVARY GLANDS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW
Type of the Article	Systematic Review

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript on Sclerosing Polycystic Adenosis (SPA) of minor salivary glands is a vital contribution to the scientific community as it addresses a rare yet significant condition. By systematically analyzing the demographics, clinical features, and histopathological characteristics, the study provides valuable insights that can aid in the accurate diagnosis and effective treatment of SPA. This work not only broadens our understanding of SPA but also emphasizes the importance of differentiating it from other similar conditions, thereby potentially improving patient outcomes and informing future research directions in the field of oral pathology.	Thank you for the response
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	Ok
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	Thanks
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript on Sclerosing Polycystic Adenosis (SPA) of minor salivary glands is a vital contribution to the scientific community as it addresses a rare yet significant condition. By systematically analyzing the demographics, clinical features, and histopathological characteristics, the study provides valuable insights that can aid in the accurate diagnosis and effective treatment of SPA. This work not only broadens our understanding of SPA but also emphasizes the importance of differentiating it from other similar conditions, thereby potentially improving patient outcomes and informing future research directions in the field of oral pathology.	Thanks for the comments
Optional/General comments		Thank you for the response

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No, as this is the systematic review we are reviewing only the cases reported in the literature.