

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRD_130118
Title of the Manuscript:	Interdental papillary reconstruction with non-surgical and surgical techniques: A case report
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	New addition In body of evidence comparison of Interventions good reporting of results	Thank you for your comments.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes – Both surgical and non-surgical interventions possible	Thank you.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract overall OK Conclusion lacks take away message as per CARE guidelines	The shift towards painless modalities for IDP reconstruction has been highlighted along with the fact that i-PRF proved to have better clinical outcome compared to HA gel and surgical approach. Injecting soft tissue volumizers have become a viable alternative to surgery.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes scientifically correct. There are some queries: 1. Who performed both the procedure surgical and non-surgical? 2. Were they calibrated? 3. How was the ethical issues dealt with? Was informed consent of the patient taken prior to the intervention? 4. Include patient's prospective 5. Can compare results analytically using different association tests	Single trained operator performed all the procedures. The patient prospective includes the fact that papillary reconstruction not only transform the smile of an individual from a state of deficit in the soft tissues to harmony, but also promote long-term health and stability of the periodontium. Informed consent was taken prior to intervention and point added in manuscript. Different methods were not compared using association test as sample size was only 1 per technique.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More than 10 years old references (from 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,16,17,18) Include latest references	Latest references have been added. Old references have been limited to the advent of a particular technique eg. PRF by Chokroun (ref no.17).
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	Thank you.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Within the limitations , it's a good work PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	Thank you.

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues.