

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRD_129956
Title of the Manuscript:	ENVELOPE FLAP VERSUS TRIANGULAR FLAP (WARD’S INCISION) DESIGN IN MANDIBULAR THIRD MOLAR DISIMPACTION: A PROSPECTIVE COMPARATIVE CLINICAL STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘lack of Novelty’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides better understanding regarding the triangular flap and envelope flap. Authors compared postoperative pain, facial swelling, Assesment of trismus, Incidence of dry socket, Incidence of wound gaping. This manuscript provides clinician about more easy and best approach for patient point of view. The time period compared is after 24 hours of procedure then after 3 and 7 days. This time period is of short interval so it can give you better understanding and knowledge about healing, pain, wound gaping , trismus.	Thanks for the comments.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, A comparison of envelope flap versus triangular flap (ward's incision) design in mandibular third molar disimpaction : A prospective comparative clinical study	Title has been changed as mentioned. A comparison of envelope flap versus triangular flap (ward's incision) design in mandibular third molar disimpaction : A prospective comparative clinical study
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	There is no need to mention P value of after 24 hours, 3 rd day and 7 th day. P value of their difference is necessary.	P value of after 24 hours, 3 rd day and 7 th day is removed from abstract result and there difference is mentioned
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, It is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There are no any recent references given and number of references are less, it should be at least minimum 15 references.	More recent references has been added to study with more numbers of references.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, There is scope of improvement.	Grammatical correction has been done in manuscript.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Give the result either in table format or graph There is repetition of result in word format also.	Result in graph format has been removed.

#### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	