

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_131034
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT PRACTICES ON FINGER MILLET IN LATERITIC SOILS OF ODISHA
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Finger millet is more response towards micro nutrients and also to improve the quality of the finger millet Nutrient management practices will improve quality and yield of the crop	Revised
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	Thanks
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Please check notations and write in expand form Correct grammatical mistakes and complete the sentence	Revised as suggested
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes In discussion part supporting evidences has to be mentioned Is it Gross cost or cost of cultivation. You have not mentioned cost of cultivation	Done revision
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	One extra reference is cited in the text but not mentioned in reference cited Most of the references are very old Last five years references has to be included form 2020	ok
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	