

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_131034
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT PRACTICES ON FINGER MILLET IN LATERITIC SOILS OF ODISHA
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal’s peer review policy states that NO manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘lack of Novelty’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b> Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript highlights the soil management for finger millet cultivation in the particular soil type of Odisha. Thus, it can help the researchers increasing soil quality as well as the total yield of finger millet in Odisha. Hence, the manuscript is really useful for the scientific as well as the farming community of Odisha and other states having lateritic soil.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable.	Thanks
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. No need of addition or deletion in abstract section.	ok
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.	Thanks
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient and currect.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	Noted
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The research paper can be accepted and published after the inclusion of the suggested modifications.  PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	Done as suggested

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous reviewer (Only for this stage as per Review policy)
Department, University & Country	