

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_130969
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on the compatibility of Trichoderma asperellum (Tr-9) with nematicide Carbofuran and Cassava based biopesticide, Nanma under in-vitro conditions
Type of the Article	Short research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>
Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">It is crucial for developing sustainable and effective nematode management strategies in tuber crops.	"Thank you for your insightful comments and appreciation of our study
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Compatibility of <i>Trichoderma asperellum</i> (Tr-9) with Carbofuran and Nanma	Yes sir Title changed to "Compatibility of <i>Trichoderma asperellum</i> (Tr-9) with nematicide Carbofuran and Cassava based biopesticide, Nanma under <i>In-vitro</i> Conditions"
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Yes, it is comprehensive, but abstract need to be rewritten.Mention time-period of experiment.	Yes , Abstract rewritten. Include time period
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Yes, manuscript is scientifically written.	Thank you
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">References are sufficient.Recent references need to be added.	Recent references are added in discussion part and results. Kindly check it is written in red colour
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The article's language needs improvement.	Yes improved
Optional/General comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No